

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,138	01/09/2001	Chad C. Smutney	P-1656	6406
20995	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			MAIORINO, ROZ	
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$			
· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
	09/757,138	SMUTNEY ET AL.	`		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roz Maiorino	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean part of the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the mean period period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 March 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		·			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,8-11 and 15-17</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 5, 8-11, 15-17</u> is/are rejected					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	M	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage			
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	treceived			
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ust of the certified copies no	(Toolived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	T	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8-11 and 15-17 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6544239. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current application is a broad version of the same limitations filed in Patent No.6544239.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,138

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

Ruis C. Sermon